

## 2026 Kentucky Balance of State CoC DV BONUS Project Scoresheet

(Note: A separate scoresheet will be used for new non-DV Bonus projects)

*Approved by the KY BoS CoC Advisory Board on 7/6/26*

Name of Agency:					
New Project Name:					
Project Type (Circle one):		TH      -      RRH			
Priority Area	Goal	Points Possible	Proj. Performance/Response	Score	Data Source/Calculation, if applicable
<b>Minimum Thresholds</b>					
<b>1.</b> Project sponsor meets minimum threshold and self-certification criteria on KHC Capacity Scorecard	If sponsor agency does not meet minimum criteria, application will not be accepted.	Pass/Fail Projects that fail to reach the minimum established criteria or establish a plan to correct deficiencies that is acceptable to KHC will not be eligible for ranking.			KHC Capacity Scorecard
<b>2.</b> Applicant is a member of ZeroV (formerly KCADV)	Applicant has experience serving victims of intimate partner violence	If no, new project application not ranked			
<b>3.</b> Project will require participation in services	Yes	If no, new project application not ranked			KHC application and e-snaps
<b>4. TH Projects:</b> Project will provide individualized services for program participants that will result in 20 hours per week of engagement in services, activities, or employment for all program participants unless excluded from that requirement by HUD.	Yes	If no, new project application not ranked			KHC application and e-snaps
<b>Project Type and Populations Served</b>					
<b>5. All Projects:</b> Project Type	Priority given to PH housing projects	RRH = 2 pts TH = 0 pts			e-snaps application
<b>Project Need and Design</b>					
<b>6. All Projects:</b> Project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources that may include	Leverage Mainstream Resources	-Yes = 2 pts -No – 0 pts (if no at time of application, project must meet this criteria prior to			e-snaps application/ Written Commitments

mainstream health, social and employment programs		executing a grant agreement)			
<b>7. All projects:</b> Applicant justified the need for this new project, including using quantitative data and explaining why other resources, especially the availability of this component type in the proposed service area, if any, is inadequate to meet need.	Strategically allocate resources	-Justified need = 10 pts -Somewhat justified = 7 pts -Acceptable, but lacks detail = 4 pts -Did not justify = <b>Application will not be accepted.</b>			KHC Project application
<b>8. All projects:</b> Coordinated Entry Engagement and Prioritization Lists clearly demonstrate a need for this project type in the proposed service area (will use RRH need as proxy for TH).	Strategically allocate resources	Yes = 10 pts Somewhat = 5 pts No = 0 pts <b>*Zero pts may result in application not being accepted depending on circumstances.</b>			HMIS CES Data
<b>9. All Projects:</b> Budget Request and Match Commitment are appropriate and consistent with proposed activities and desired outcomes	Adequate resources available to effectively operate project	-Yes = 10 pts - Somewhat appropriate, but significant changes needed prior to HUD submission = 5 pts - No = 0 pts <b>Application will not be accepted.</b>			e-snaps application Budget Requests and Match documentation
<b>Quickly Moves People into Permanent Housing and Supports Housing Stability</b>					
<b>10. All Projects:</b> Applicant described strong plan to assist project participants to quickly <b>obtain</b> permanent housing.	Quickly move people into PH	-Strong Plan = 10 pts -Good Plan, but lacks some detail= 7 pts -Has plan, but lacks detail to fully determine effectiveness = 4 Plan not acceptable = 0			e-snaps Application 4A.1/KHC Supplemental Response
<b>11. All Projects:</b> Applicant described strong plan to assist project participants to <b>maintain</b> permanent housing, even after assistance ends.	Housing Stabilization	-Strong Plan = 10 pts -Good Plan, but lacks some detail= 7 pts -Has plan, but lacks detail to fully determine effectiveness = 4 Plan not acceptable = 0			e-snaps Application 4A.1/KHC Supplemental Response
<b>12. All Projects:</b> Applicant demonstrates understanding of the specific needs/barriers faced by survivors and proposed services/project design is appropriate to meet these needs.	Project uses Trauma Informed Care and a Victim-Centered approach.	-Design appropriate = 10 pts -Design appropriate, but lacks details = 5 pts -Design not appropriate = <i>Application will not be accepted.</i>			KHC Supplemental Response

<b>Increasing Employment Income and Connecting Participants to Mainstream Benefits/Community Resources</b>					
<b>13. All Projects:</b> Applicant described strong plan to assist participants to increase their income through employment.	Increase income - Ensure long-term housing stability / Reduce returns to homelessness	-Strong Plan = 5 pts -Good Plan, but lacks some detail= 3 pts -Has plan, but lacks detail to fully determine effectiveness = 1 Plan not acceptable = 0			e-snaps Application 4A.2
<b>14.All Projects:</b> Applicant described strong plan to help participants obtain and maintain non-medical, non-cash benefits and other services such as Food Stamps, WIC, Child Care Assistance, etc.	Access non-cash mainstream benefits	-Strong Plan = 5 pts -Good Plan, but lacks some detail= 3 pts -Has plan, but lacks detail to fully determine effectiveness = 1 Plan not acceptable = 0			e-snaps Application 4A.2
<b>15. All Projects:</b> Applicant described strong plan to help participants obtain and maintain health care benefits/insurance and resources (e.g., Medicaid, health clinics, etc.)	Access health care	-Strong Plan = 5 pts -Good Plan, but lacks some detail= 3 pts -Has plan, but lacks detail to fully determine effectiveness = 1 Plan not acceptable = 0			e-snaps Application 4A.2
<b>Agency Experience, Management, Capacity, HMIS (or comparable database for VSPs), and CoC Participation</b>					
<b>16. All Projects:</b> Agency has administered one or more CoC grants at least since 2022.	Agency experience	Agency has administered CoC grants for program years 2022, 2023, and 2024 = 5 pts.  Agency has administered CoC grants for at least one year between program years 2022, 2023, or 2024 = 3 pts			KHC Records
<b>17. All Projects:</b> Agency has experience with other, non-CoC federal housing and/ or Services grants for people experiencing homelessness, including for the specific activities it is proposing in this grant application.	Agency experience	Agency has significant experience with other federal housing and/or services grants for the type of activities it is proposing. = 6 pts  Some federal experience, but only in past 2 years. = 4 pts  Some related experience but not with federal			e-snaps application 2B.1

		grants for this purpose = 2 pts  No experience with other similar programs serving people experiencing homelessness = 0 pts			
<b>18. All Projects</b> Project leadership identified has appropriate management experience to oversee ongoing, effective implementation of this project.	Agency experience	-Yes = 5 -Some relevant management experience = 2 pts -No significant experience = 0 pts			e-snaps application, 2B.1 and KHC supplemental response
<b>19. All projects:</b> Agency currently uses HMIS or a HMIS comparable database for Victim Service Providers.	Agency experience	Yes= 5 pts No = 0 pts			KHC Records
<b>20. All projects:</b> Agency representative attended 2026 Annual CoC meeting on 6/18/26 (or listened to recording by deadline).	100%	Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts			COC Planning/Coordination Records
<b>21. All projects:</b> Agency has a pattern of returning CoC-funding exceeding 10% of grant(s).	Grant Administration	Yes = <u>Deduct</u> 10 pts. (- 10)			eLOCCs/Confirmed by KHC
<b>NEW PROJECT APPLICATION SCORE</b>					
		Total Points Possible	Earned	Score (%)	
	All Projects	105			