

APR Data: # of Clients: _____ # of Households _____ # of Adults _____ # of Leavers: _____ # of Adult Leavers: _____
 # of Stayers: _____ # of Adult Stayers: _____ # of Adult Stayers not yet required to have annual assessment: _____
 # Leavers not included in PH Destination Outcome (PHDO): _____ Total # of leavers minus those not included in PHDO _____

**2023 Kentucky Balance of State CoC Renewal Scoresheet
 for Joint TH/RRH Projects Only**

Approved by the KY BoS CoC Advisory Board on August 7, 2023

Note: A separate scoresheet will be used for PSH, RRH, TH, and SSO stand-alone projects. Victim Service Providers (VSP) client-level data will be evaluated using the provider’s HMIS-comparable database. References to “HMIS” in this scoresheet include data generated from these VSP HMIS-comparable databases.

Agency Name:		Project Name:			
Project Type: Joint TH/RRH		Victim Service Provider (Circle one):		Yes	No
		Facility-Based TH (Circle one):		Yes	No
Priority Area	Goal	Points Possible	Proj. Performance/Response	Score	Data Source/Calculation, if applicable
Project Type, Priority Populations, and Geographic Coverage					
1. Project is able to serve both <i>Households With Children</i> and <i>Households Without Children</i> (including households with more than one adult regardless sexual orientation, gender identity, or marital status). Note: Equal Access Rules apply regardless.	Resources available for all household types	- Yes, both = 2 pts - No, limited to one household type = 0 pts			KHC Project Application/ e-snaps Application
2. Project is not limited to one gender (e.g., “females only”). Note: Equal Access Rules apply regardless.	Resources available for all genders	- Yes, all= 2 pts - No, limited to one gender = 0 pts			KHC Project Application/ e-snaps Application
3. TH Portion: Majority of TH units located in counties <u>without</u> other low-barrier emergency shelter for population served by this project.	Increase availability of temporary, crisis housing.	Yes = 3 points Some ES is available, but for limited demographics = 1 pt No = 0 pts			KHC Project Application/HIC data
4. RRH Portion Project covers at least one full LPC.	Increase accessibility of resources	- One or more LPCs = 4 pts - At least 3 counties, = 2 pts At least 2 counties = 1 pt 1 county = 0 pts			KHC Project Application/ e-snaps Application
Length of Stay/Homeless (Quickly Moves People into Permanent Housing)					
5. RRH Portion: Average length of time between “Project Entry” to “Housing Move-in” Date <i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion; Length of Time Homeless</i>	Quickly move to PH (30 days or less)	- 30 days or less = 3 pts - 31-60 days = 2 pts - 60-90 days = 1pt - Over 90 days = 0 pts			HMIS Data/CoC APR Q22c

<p>6. TH Portion: On average, participants (<u>adults and children</u>) exiting program have stayed for 180 days or less.</p> <p><i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion; Length of Time Homeless</i></p>	<p>Move to PH in 6 months or less from TH program</p>	<p>- 180 days or less = 3 pts - 181 days or more = 0 pts</p>		<p>HMIS Data/APR, Q22b: (Average Length for Leavers Only)</p>	
Housing Stability (Permanent Housing Destination at Exit and/or Maintaining Permanent Housing)					
<p>7. TH Portion: Percentage of participants (<u>adults and children</u>) exiting to permanent hsg destinations</p> <p><i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion; Exits to PH</i></p>	<p>90%</p>	<p>- 90% or more =10 pts - 80 -89% = 7 pts - 70 – 79% = 5 pts - 60 – 69% = 3 pts - 59% or less = 0 pts</p>		<p>HMIS Data/APR: Q23c</p>	
<p>8. RRH Portion: Percentage of participants (<u>adults and children</u>) exiting to permanent hsg destinations</p> <p><i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion; Exits to PH</i></p>	<p>90%</p>	<p>90% or more =10 pts - 80 -89% = 7 pts - 70 – 79% = 5 pts - 60 – 69% = 3 pts - 59% or less = 0 pts</p>			
<p>9. RRH Portion (excluding VSPs): Returns to Homelessness- Percentage of households who exited to permanent housing and returned to homelessness within 1 year (12 months) of exit.</p> <p><i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion; Returns to Homelessness</i></p>	<p>Less than the CoC System-wide % for project component</p> <p>Note: If project had only one leaver HH and that HH returned, project will get 3 pts</p>	<p>- No returns = 5 pts - More than zero, but less than CoC% = 3 pts - Within 3 percentage pts more than CoC% = 1 pts - More than 3 percentage pts more = 0 pts - VSP or operating less than 12 months (N/A) = 5 pts</p>		<p>HMIS Data/ System-Wide Performance Measures Report, Metric 2.b.2</p>	
Connecting Participants to Mainstream Benefits/Community Resources and Increasing Participants’ Income					
<p>10. Program’s commitment to connecting participants with mainstream resources.</p>	<p>Answers “Yes” to ALL relevant questions on the 2021 proj. app.</p>	<p>- Yes to all 4 = 6 pts - Yes to 3 = 4 pts - Yes to 2 = 2 pts - Yes to 1 or less = 0 pts</p>		<p>e-snaps Project Application, 4A. questions 2.,3.,4.,4a.</p>	
<p>11. RRH Portion Percentage of <u>adult</u> participants who <u>gained</u> or <u>increased</u> income from employment (i.e., “earned income”) from entry to exit or HMIS annual follow-up/assessment.</p> <p><i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion, Increase Income</i></p>	<p>10%</p>	<p>- 10% or more = 3pts - 8-9% = 2 pts - 6-7% =1 pts - 5% or less = 0 pts</p> <p>(rounding up = .5+)</p>		<p>HMIS Data/APR Q19a1. Universe = Total number of adult stayers minus number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment in APR Q18.</p>	

12. RRH Portion: Percentage of <u>adult</u> participants who <u>gained</u> , <u>maintained</u> , or <u>increased</u> income from “other” sources (non-employment, non-earned cash) from entry to exit or at follow-up. <i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion; Increase Income</i>	30%	- 30% or more = 3 pts - 25-29% = 2 pts - 20-24% = 1 pts - 19% and below = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q19a1 and Q19a2 Universe = Total number of adults minus number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment in APR Q18.
13. RRH Portion: Percentage of <u>adult</u> participants with at least 1 or more “non-cash benefit(s)” (e.g., Food Stamps/SNAP) at exit.	70%	- 70% or more = 3 pts - 50-69% = 1 pts - Less than 50% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q20b ÷ adult leavers. *If no leavers, data will be for stayers at annual follow-up.
14. RRH Portion: Percentage of participants with health insurance at exit or at annual follow-up.	90%	90% or more = 3 pts 80 - 89% = 2 pt 75-79% = 1 pt Less than 75% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q21.
Utilization Rate					
15. TH Portion: Average unit utilization rate	85%	- 85% or more = 5 pts - 75 - 84% = 3 pts - 65 - 74% = 1 pts - 64% and below = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q8b “# of households served last Wed in “Oct/Jan/Apr/ July” ÷ “total units in App x 4”
16. RRH Portion: Average unit utilization rate	85%	- 85% or more = 5 pts - 75 - 84% = 3 pts - 65 - 74% = 1 pts - 64% and below = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q8b “# of households served last Wed in “Oct/Jan/Apr/ July” ÷ “total units in App x 4”
Severity of Need and Commitment to HUD Policy Priorities					
17. RRH Portion: Percentage of <u>adult</u> participants with at least one condition (i.e., disability) at entry.	30%	30% or more = 2 pts 20-29% = 1 pts Less than 20% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q13a2
18. TH Portion: Percentage of <u>adult</u> participants with no income at program entry.	50%	50% or more = 5 pts 40 - 49% = 3 pts 30 - 39% = 2 pts Less than 30% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q18.
19. Housing First Fidelity— Low- Barriers to Entry	Individuals are rapidly placed/stabilized in permanent hsg	-Project clearly demonstrates full fidelity to low-barrier principle. = 5 pts			KHC Project Application narrative response

	without any preconditions besides CoC program eligibility requirements	-Project somewhat demonstrates fidelity, but needs improvement = 3 pts - Project does not demonstrate sufficient fidelity. = 0			
20. Housing First Fidelity —Housing Retention/Program Termination	Individuals are not terminated from program for failure to participate in supportive services; make progress on service plan; loss of or failure to improve income; domestic violence victimization; or any other activity typically included in a lease agreement in the service area.	-Project clearly demonstrates full fidelity to housing retention principle. = 5 pts -Project somewhat demonstrates fidelity, but needs improvement = 3 pts - Project does not demonstrate sufficient fidelity. = 0			KHC Project Application narrative response
21. Racial Equity Evaluation: Project conducted an evaluation of its data to determine if racial disparities exist in access and/or outcomes.		Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts			KHC Project Application narrative response
22. Racial Equity Action: Agency has taken steps to address current and/or potential racial disparities in program access and outcomes.		Yes, strong plan = 2 pts Somewhat = 1 pt No = 0 pt			KHC Project Application narrative response
Program Management, Capacity, HMIS (or comparable database for VSPs) Data Quality, and CoC Participation					
23. Project sponsor meets minimum threshold and self-certification criteria on KHC Capacity Scorecard	If agency does not meet criteria, application will not be accepted.	Pass/Fail			KHC Capacity Scorecard
24. If your 2021 grant has closed, did project have any unspent funds exceeding 10%?	No	No = 3 pts Yes = 0 pts Project still open = 3pts			KHC Project Application/ Verified by eLOCCs
25. If you had unspent funds exceeding 10% for both your closed 2021 grant and your 2020 grant, are you voluntarily requesting less funding for this renewal grant?	Yes	Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts Project still open = 2pts N/A (not more than 10% unspent on both			KHC Project Application

		or just for one year but is requesting less funds anyway) = 2 pts			
26. If your 2021 grant is still open, is your project on track to spend down 100% of the funds within a 15% variance?	Yes	Yes; within 15% variance = 3 pts No; more than 15% underspent = 0 pts Project closed = 3 pts			KHC Project Application/ Verified by eLOCCS Balance as of 8/28/23.
27. If your 2021 grant is still open and is not on track to spend down 100% of funds within a 15% variance and returned more than 10% of the 2019 grant, are you voluntarily requesting less funding for your 2023 renewal grant?	Yes	Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts N/A: Project closed, is on track or is requesting less funds anyway = 2pts			KHC Project Application
28. For this project, has there been a HUD and/or KHC monitoring with findings since 7/1/22?	No findings or findings corrected and accepted	-No findings or no review completed = 5 pts -Findings, but corrected and accepted (or correction period still within allowed time) = 3 pts -Uncorrected = 0 pts			KHC Project Application/HUD/KHC verified
29. TH Portion: For HMIS or VSP comparable database, missing data for client destination at exit: Data Quality as compared to the CoC Data Quality Report <i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion; Data Quality</i>	No missing data	No missing data = 4 pts Some missing, but is less than the CoC percent missing for the component type = 2 pts Greater than the CoC percent missing for the component type= 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q23c and CoC Data Quality Report
30. RRH Portion: For HMIS or VSP comparable database, missing data for client destination at exit: Data Quality as compared to the CoC Data Quality Report <i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion; Data Quality</i>	No missing data	No missing data = 4 pts Some missing, but is less than the CoC percent missing for the component type = 2 pts Greater than the CoC percent missing for the component type= 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q23c and CoC Data Quality Report
31. RRH Portion: Number of Adults Stayers <u>without</u> required Annual Assessment	100% completed/No Adults Stayers without HMIS Annual Assessment completed.	0 without required assessment = 3 pts 1-10% without = 1 pts More than 10% without= 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q18 Universe: Adult Stayers minus "Adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment".
32. Agency representative attended 2022 Annual CoC meeting in September 2022 and 2023 Spring Meeting in May 2023 (or listened to recordings by deadline).	100%	Attended both= 3 pts Attended 1 = 1 pts Did not attend = 0 pts			COE Planning and Coordination Records

33. All projects: Watched HUD Equal Access Rule and Gender Identity Rule Training recording via the HCA Partner Portal/LMS by August 28, 2023.	Yes	Yes = 3 pts No = 0 pts		COC Planning and Coordination Records
Joint TH/RRH Renewal Project Score				
	Total Possible Points		Earned	Score (%)
	123			

System Performance Measures Criteria (exceeds 20% HUD requirement):

HUD will award points to CoCs that use HUD System Performance Measures (SPMs) to account for at least 20% of the total points possible for scoring project applications and additional points for CoCs that use more than one measure related to system performance. This renewal project scoresheet has a total of 123 pts (“Total Points Possible”) and includes multiple scoring criteria directly related to HUD’s SPMs, exceeding 20% of the total points possible as explained below. The SPM questions and associated point values are as follows:

- **Length of Time Homeless:** 3 pts (Q5 for RRH portion)
- **Length of Time Homeless:** 3 pts (Q6 for TH portion)
- **Exits to Permanent Housing:** 10 pts (Q7 for TH portion)
- **Exits to Permanent Housing:** 10 pts (Q8 for RRH portion)
- **Returns to Homelessness:** 5 pts (Q9 for RRH portion)
- **Increase in Earned Income:** 3 pts (Q11 for RRH)
- **Increase in Other Income:** 3 pts (Q12 for RRH)
- **Data Quality for Destinations at Exit:** 4 pts (Q29 for TH)
- **Data Quality for Destinations at Exit:** 4 pts (Q30 for RRH)

Total SPM points:

- 45 pts of 123 pts (**36.5%** of Total Points Possible)

Objective Criteria (exceeds HUD’s 33% requirement):

HUD will award points to CoCs that use objective criteria for at least 33% of the total points possible in their local scoring and additional points to CoCs that use more than one objective criterion. The vast majority of points available on this scoresheet are for objective criteria based on HMIS client-level data (this includes the SPMS above plus several more), populations served, applicant’s expenditure rates, and attendance at meetings/trainings.