

APR Data: # of Clients: \_\_\_\_\_ # of Households \_\_\_\_\_ # of Adults \_\_\_\_\_ # of Leavers: \_\_\_\_\_ # of Adult Leavers: \_\_\_\_\_  
 # of Stayers: \_\_\_\_\_ # of Adult Stayers: \_\_\_\_\_ # of Adult Stayers not yet required to have annual assessment: \_\_\_\_\_  
 # Leavers not included in PH Destination Outcome (PHDO): \_\_\_\_\_ Total # of leavers minus those not included in PHDO: \_\_\_\_\_

**2023 Kentucky Balance of State CoC EXPANSION Scoresheet  
for RRH and PSH**

*Approved by the KY BoS CoC Advisory Board August 7, 2023*

Note: Victim Service Providers (VSP) client-level data will be evaluated using the provider’s HMIS-comparable database. References to “HMIS” in this scoresheet include data generated from these VSP HMIS-comparable databases.

Agency Name:		Project Name:			
Project Type (Circle one): PH-RRH - PH-PSH		Victim Service Provider (Circle one):		Yes	No
		Facility-Based Housing (Circle one):		Yes	No
Priority Area	Goal	Points Possible	Proj. Performance/Response	Score	Data Source/Calculation, if applicable
<b>Minimum Thresholds</b>					
<b>1. All Projects:</b> Project sponsor meets minimum threshold and self-certification criteria on KHC Capacity Scorecard	Yes	Pass/Fail Projects that fail to reach the minimum established criteria or establish a plan to correct deficiencies that is acceptable to KHC will not be accepted.			KHC Capacity Scorecard
<b>2. All Projects:</b> Applicant committed to moving people quickly into PH and utilizes a Low Barrier/Housing First Model	Yes	If no, Expansion Project will not be accepted			e-snaps Application
<b>3. PSH Projects:</b> 100% of beds in renewal and expansion projects must be 100% Dedicated to Chronically Homeless or DedicatedPlus	Yes	If no, Expansion Project will not be accepted			e-snaps Application
<b>Project Type, Priority Populations, Geographic Coverage, and Need for Expansion</b>					
<b>5. All Projects:</b> Project Type	Resources prioritized for chronically homeless/highest need populations	- PSH = 3 pts - RRH = 0 pts			KHC Project Application/ e-snaps Application
<b>6. RRH Project:</b> Project is able to serve both <i>Households With Children</i> and <i>Households Without Children</i> (including more than one adult regardless of the sexual orientation, gender identity, or marital status). Note Equal Access Rules apply regardless.	Resources available for all household types <i>*DedicatedPlus PSH already required to serve HH with children</i>	- Yes, both = 2 pts - No, limited to one household type = 0 pts			KHC Project Application/ e-snaps Application
<b>7. All Projects:</b> Project is not limited to one gender (e.g., “females only”) Equal Access Rules apply regardless.	Resources available for all genders	- Yes, all= 2 pts - No, limited to one gender = 0 pts			KHC Project Application/ e-snaps Application

<p><b>8. All Projects:</b> Project covers multiple counties or for facility-based PSH, participants from at least a full Local Prioritization Community (LPC) are eligible.</p>	<p>Increase accessibility of resources</p>	<p>- One or more LPCs = 4 pts          - At least 3 counties, but not a full LPC = 2 pts          - 2 or less counties = 0 pts</p>			<p>KHC Project Application/ e-snaps Application</p>
<p><b>9. All Projects:</b> Do other Programs of this project type exist in the proposed service area (other than ESG-CV RRH or HHCK statewide PSH) for this specific population you will serve?</p>	<p>Strategically allocate resources to areas with limited or no other resources of this kind.</p>	<p>No = 3 pts          Yes, but only for a limited population = 1 pts          Yes = 0 pts</p>			<p>KHC Project Application/ Housing Inventory Count</p>
<p><b>10. All Projects:</b> Applicant clearly described need for proposed activities in the expansion project, including using quantitative data and why the specific amount of funds the applicant is requesting is appropriate for the expanded activities.</p>	<p>Strategically allocate scarce resources</p>	<p>Yes = 10 pts          Somewhat = 5 pts          No = 0 pts  <b>*Unsatisfactory explanation may result in application not being accepted.</b></p>			<p>e-snaps application/KHC Project Application narrative response</p>
<p><b>11. All Projects:</b> Coordinated Entry Engagement and Prioritization Lists clearly demonstrate a need for this project type in the proposed service area.</p>	<p>Quantifiable Need</p>	<p>Yes = 10 pts          Somewhat = 5 pts          No = 0 pts  <b>*Zero pts may result in application not being accepted depending on circumstances.</b></p>			<p>HMIS Coordinated Entry Data</p>
<p><b>Length of Stay/Homeless (Quickly Moves People into Permanent Housing)</b></p>					
<p><b>12. All Projects:</b> Average length of time between “Project Entry” to “Housing Move-in” Date  <i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion</i></p>	<p>Quickly move to PH (30 days or less)</p>	<p>- 30 days or less = 3 pts          - 31-45 days = 2 pts          - 46-60 days = 1pt          - Over 60 days = 0 pts</p>			<p>HMIS Data/CoC APR Q22c</p>
<p><b>Housing Stability (Permanent Housing Destination at Exit and/or Maintaining Permanent Housing)</b></p>					
<p><b>13. PSH Projects:</b> Length of Stay 12 months (366 days) or longer (adults and children)  <i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion; PH Housing Retention</i></p>	<p>80%</p>	<p>- 80% or more = 5 pts          - 60-79% = 3 pts          - 59% or less = 0 pts</p>			<p>HMIS Data/APR, Q22a1 (Leavers Only)          *If no leavers, data will be for stayers at end of reporting period.</p>
<p><b>14. RRH Projects:</b> Percentage of participants (adults and children) exiting to permanent hsg destinations  <i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion; Exits to PH</i></p>	<p>90%</p>	<p>- 90% or more =10 pts          - 70 – 89% = 5 pts          - 69% or less = 0 pts</p>			<p>HMIS Data/APR: Q23c</p>

<p><b>15. PSH Projects:</b> Percentage of participants (<u>adults and children</u>) remaining in PSH or exiting to permanent hsg destinations</p> <p><i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion; Retention In/Exits to PH</i></p>	90%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 90% or more =10 pts</li> <li>- 70 – 89% = 5 pts</li> <li>- 69% or less = 0 pts</li> </ul>			HMIS Data/APR: Q23c
<p><b>16. All Projects (excluding VSPs):</b> Returns to Homelessness- Percentage of households who exited to permanent housing and returned to homelessness within 1 year (12 months) of exit.</p> <p><i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion; Returns to Homelessness</i></p>	<p>Less than the CoC System-wide % for project component</p> <p>Note: If project had only one leaver HH and that HH returned, project will get 3 pts</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No returns = 5 pts</li> <li>- More than zero, but less than CoC %= 3 pts</li> <li>- Within 3 percentage pts more than CoC % = 1 pts</li> <li>- More than 3 percentage pts than CoC % = 0</li> <li>- VSP (N/A) = 6 pts</li> </ul>			HMIS Data/ System-Wide Performance Measures Report, Metric 2.b.2
<b>Connecting Participants to Mainstream Benefits/Community Resources and Increasing Participants' Income</b>					
<p><b>17. All Projects:</b> Program's commitment to connecting participants with mainstream resources.</p>	Answers "Yes" to ALL relevant questions on the 2022 proj. app.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Yes to all 4 = 6 pts</li> <li>- Yes to 3 = 3 pts</li> <li>- Yes to 2 or less = 0 pts</li> </ul>			e-snaps Project Application, 4A. questions 2.,3.,4.,4a.
<p><b>18. PSH Projects:</b> Percentage of <u>adult</u> participants who <u>gained</u> or <u>increased</u> income from employment (i.e., "earned income") from entry to exit or HMIS annual follow-up/assessment.</p> <p><i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion</i></p>	10%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 8% or more = 3pts</li> <li>- 6-7% = 2 pts</li> <li>- 4-5% =1 pts</li> <li>- 3% or less = 0 pts</li> </ul> <p>(rounding up = .5+)</p>			HMIS Data/APR Q19a1. Universe = # of adult stayers minus # of adult stayers not yet required to have annual assessment in APR Q18.
<p><b>19. RRH Projects</b></p> <p>Percentage of <u>adult</u> participants who <u>gained</u> or <u>increased</u> income from employment (i.e., "earned income") from entry to exit or</p>	12%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 10% or more = 3pts</li> <li>- 8-9% = 2 pts</li> <li>- 6-7% =1 pts</li> <li>- 5% or less = 0 pts</li> </ul> <p>(rounding up = .5+)</p>			HMIS Data/APR Q19a1. Universe = Total number of adult stayers minus number of adult stayers not yet required to have

HMIS annual follow-up/assessment.					an annual assessment in APR Q18.
<i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion, Increase Income</i>					
<b>20. All Projects:</b> Percentage of <u>adult</u> participants who <u>gained</u> , <u>maintained</u> , or <u>increased</u> income from “other” sources (non-employment, non-earned cash) from entry to exit or at follow-up.	30%	- 30% or more = 3 pts - 25-29% = 2 pts - 20-24% = 1 pts - 19% and below = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q19a1 and Q19a2 Universe = Total number of adults minus number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment in APR Q18.
<i>*System Performance Measure Scoring Criterion; Increase Income</i>					
<b>21. All Projects:</b> Percentage of <u>adult</u> participants with at least 1 or more “non-cash benefit(s)” at exit.	70%	- 70% or more = 3 pts - 50-69% = 1 pts - Less than 50% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q20b ÷ adult leavers. *If no leavers, data will be for stayers at annual follow-up.
<b>22. All Projects:</b> Percentage of participants with health insurance at exit or at annual follow-up.	90%	90% or more = 3 pts 80 - 89% = 1 pt Less than 80% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q21.
<b>Utilization Rate</b>					
<b>23. All Projects:</b> Average unit utilization rate	85%	- 85% or more = 5 pts - 75 – 84% = 3 pts - 65 – 74% = 1 pts - 64% and below = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q8b “# of households served last Wed in “Oct/Jan/Apr/ July” ÷ “total units in App x 4”
<b>24. Current Utilization Rate</b> Utilization Rate as of 7/31/2023	90%	- 90% or more = 5 pts - 85 – 89% = 3 pts - 80 – 84% = 1 pts - 79% and below = 0 pts			HMIS Data Stayers – Total number of Households compared to renewal application units
<b>Severity of Need and Commitment to HUD Policy Priorities</b>					
<b>25. PSH projects:</b> Percentage of chronically homeless households (stayers) at end of reporting period.	50%	50% or more = 2 pts 40-49% = 1 pt Less than 40% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/Q26a

<b>26. RRH:</b> Percentage of <u>adult</u> participants with at least one condition at end of reporting period.	30%	30% or more = 2 pts 25-29% = 1 pts Less than 25% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q13a2
<b>27. PSH Projects:</b> Percentage of participants with at least two conditions (i.e., disabilities) at end of reporting period.	40%	40% or more = 2 pts 40-49% = 1 pt Less than 40% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q13a2
<b>28. All projects:</b> Housing First Fidelity—Low-Barriers to Entry	Individuals are rapidly placed/stabilized in permanent hsg without any preconditions besides CoC program eligibility requirements	-Project clearly demonstrates full fidelity to low-barrier principle. = 5 pts -Project somewhat demonstrates fidelity, but needs improvement = 3 pts - Project does not demonstrate sufficient fidelity. = <b>Application Not accepted</b>			KHC Project Application narrative response
<b>29. All projects:</b> Housing First Fidelity—Housing Retention/Program Termination	Individuals are not terminated from program for failure to participate in supportive services; make progress on service plan; loss of or failure to improve income; domestic violence victimization; or any other activity typically included in a lease agreement in the service area.	-Project clearly demonstrates full fidelity to housing retention principle. = 5 pts -Project somewhat demonstrates fidelity, but needs improvement = 3 pts - Project does not demonstrate sufficient fidelity. = <b>Application no accepted.</b>			KHC Project Application narrative response
<b>30. Racial Equity Evaluation:</b> Project conducted an evaluation of its data to determine if racial disparities exist.		Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts			KHC Project Application narrative response
<b>31. Racial Equity Action:</b> Agency has taken steps to address current and/or potential racial disparities in program access and outcomes.		Yes, strong plan = 2 pts Somewhat = 1 pt No = 0 pt			KHC Project Application narrative response
<b>Program Management, Capacity, HMIS (or comparable database for VSPs) Data Quality, and CoC Participation</b>					

<b>32. All projects:</b> If your 2020 grant has closed, did project have any unspent funds exceeding 10%?	No	No = 5 pts Yes = 0 pts Project still open = 5pts			KHC Project Application/ Verified by eLOCCs
<b>33. All projects:</b> Did your 2020 grant have unspent funds exceeding 10%?	Yes	No = 3 pts Yes = 0 pts			KHC Project Application
<b>34. All projects:</b> If your 2021 grant is still open, is your project on track to spend down 100% of the funds within a 15% variance?	Yes	Yes; within 15% variance = 5 pts No; more than 15% underspent = 0 pts Project closed = 5 pts			KHC Project Application/ Verified by eLOCCS Balance as of <b>August 28, 2023.</b>
<b>35. All projects:</b> If applicant returned money on its 2020 grant of any amount or will return money of any amount for the 2021 grant, applicant provided reasonable explanation for why funds were/will be returned and provided a clear rationale and plan for how new funds through expansion will be fully expended.	Yes	Yes = 10 pts No = 0 pts Project on track = 10 pts  <b>*Unsatisfactory explanation may result in application not being accepted.</b>			KHC Project Application
<b>36. All projects:</b> For this project, has there been a HUD and/or KHC monitoring with findings since 7/1/22?	No findings or findings corrected and accepted	No findings or no review completed = 5 pts Findings, but corrected and accepted (or correction period still within allowed time) = 3 pts -Uncorrected findings = 0  <b>*Uncorrected findings may result in application not being accepted.</b>			KHC Project Application/HUD/ KHC verified.
<b>37. All projects:</b> For HMIS or VSP comparable database, missing data for client destination at exit: Data Quality as compared to the CoC Data Quality Report	No missing data	No missing data = 4 pts  Some missing, but is less than the CoC percent missing for the component type = 2 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q23c and CoC Data Quality Report

<i>System Performance Scoring Criterion: Data Quality – Exits Destinations</i>		Greater than the CoC percent missing for the component type= 0 pts			
<b>38. All Projects:</b> Number of Adults Stayers <u>without</u> required Annual Assessment	100% completed/No Adults Stayers without their HMIS Annual Assessment completed.	0 without required assessment = 3 pts  1-10% without = 1 pts  More than 10% without= 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q18 Universe: Adult Stayers minus “Adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment”.
<b>39. All projects:</b> Agency representative attended 2022 Annual CoC meeting in September 2022 and 2023 Spring Meeting in May 2023 (or listened to recordings by deadline).	100%	Attended both= 3 pts  Attended 1 = 1 pts  Did not attend = 0 pts			COC Planning and Coordination Records
<b>40. All projects:</b> Watched HUD Equal Access Rule and Gender Identity Rule Training recording via the HCA Partner Portal/LMS by August 28, 2023.	Yes	Yes = 3 pts  No = 0 pts			
<b>41. Bonus:</b> Expansion project meets criteria for Leveraging Housing Resources as defined in 2023 NOFO	Yes	Yes = 5 pts			
<b>42. Bonus:</b> Expansion project meets criteria for Leveraging Health Care Resources as defined in 2023 NOFO	Yes	Yes = 5 pts			
<b>Expansion Project Score</b>					
		<b>Total Possible Points (excluding Bonus)</b>	<b>Earned (including Bonus)</b>	<b>Score (%)</b>	
	<b>PSH:</b>	142			
	<b>RRH:</b>	137			

**See information on next page regarding the use of HUD System Performance Measures and additional objective criteria.**

**System Performance Measures Criteria (exceeds 20% HUD requirement):**

HUD will award points to CoCs that use HUD System Performance Measures (SPMs) to account for at least 20% of the total points possible for scoring project applications and additional points for CoCs that use more than one measure related to system performance. This expansion project scoresheet has a total of 142 pts for PSH projects and 137 pts for

RRH projects (“Total Points Possible”) and includes multiple scoring criteria directly related to HUD’s SPMs, exceeding 20% of the total points possible as explained below. The SPM questions and associated point values are as follows:

- **Length of Time Homeless:** 3 pts (Q12 for both project types)
- **Permanent Housing Retention:** 5 pts (Q13 for PSH)
- **Exits to Permanent Housing:** 10 pts (Q14 for RRH)
- **Exits to Permanent Housing and Housing Retention:** 10 pts (Q15 for PSH)
- **Returns to Homelessness:** 5 pts (Q16 for both project types)
- **Increase in Earned Income:** 3 pts (Q18 for PSH and Q19 for RRH)
- **Increase in Other Income:** 3 pts (Q20 for both project types)
- **Data Quality for Destinations at Exit:** 4 pts (Q37 for both project types)

**Total SPM points by project type:**

- PSH: 33 pts out of 142 pts (**23%** of Total Points Possible)
- RRH: 28 pts out of 137 (**20%** of Total Points Possible)

**Objective Criteria (exceeds HUD’s 33% requirement):**

HUD will award points to CoCs that use objective criteria for at least 33% of the total points possible in their local scoring and additional points to CoCs that use more than one objective criterion. The vast majority of points available on this scoresheet are for objective criteria based on HMIS client-level data (this includes the SPMS above plus several more), project type, populations served, applicant’s expenditure rates, and attendance at meetings/trainings.