

APR Data: # of Clients: _____ # of Households _____ # of Adults _____ # of Leavers: _____ # of Adult Leavers: _____
 # of Stayers: _____ # of Adult Stayers: _____ # of Adult Stayers not yet required to have annual assessment: _____
 # of Leavers not included in PH Destination Outcome: _____ Total number of leavers minus those not included: _____

**2019 Kentucky Balance of State CoC Renewal Scoresheet
 for TH, SSO (non-coordinated entry), RRH, and PSH
 (Approved by KY BoS CoC Advisory Board August 19, 2019)**

Note: Victim Service Providers (VSP) client-level data will be evaluated using the provider's HMIS comparable database. References to HMIS in this scoresheet include these comparable databases.

Agency Name:			Project Name:		
Project Type (Circle one): TH - PH-RRH - PH-PSH - SSO			Victim Service Provider (Circle one):		Yes No
			Facility-Based Housing (Circle one):		Yes No
Priority Area	Goal	Points Possible	Proj. Performance /Response	Score	Data Source/ Calculation, if applicable
Project Type, Priority Populations, and Geographic Coverage					
1. All Programs: Permanent Housing Programs and rapid placement into permanent housing prioritized.	Resources prioritized for highest needs and rapid placement/stabilization in permanent housing	- PSH = 3 pts - RRH = 2 pts - SSO = 1 pt - TH = 0 pts			KHC Project Application/ e-snaps Application
2. RRH, TH, and SSO: Project is able to serve both <i>Households With Children and Households Without Children</i> (including individuals and households with one or more adult and regardless of the sexual orientation of household members).	Resources available for all household types	- Yes, both = 2 pts - No, limited to one household type = 0 pts			KHC Project Application/ e-snaps Application
3. All Programs: Project is able to serve people regardless of gender identity and is not limited to one gender (Equal Access Rules apply).	Resources available for all genders	- Yes, all= 2 pts - No, limited to one gender = 0 pts			KHC Project Application/ e-snaps Application
4. All Programs: Project covers multiple counties (or for facility-based PSH or TH, participants from at least a full LPC are eligible)	Increase accessibility of resources	- One or more LPCs = 4 pts - At least 3 counties, but not a full LPC = 2 pts - 2 or less counties= 0 pts			KHC Project Application/ e-snaps Application

Length of Stay/Homeless (Quickly Moves People into Permanent Housing)					
5. RRH and PSH Programs: Average length of time between “Project Entry” to “Housing Move-in” Date	Quickly move to PH (30 days or less)	- 30 days or less = 5 pts - 31-45 days = 3 pts - 46-60 days = 1pt - Over 60 days = 0 pts			HMIS Data/CoC APR Q22c
6. TH Programs: On average, participants (<u>adults and children</u>) exiting program have stayed in 270 or less.	Move to PH in 9 months or less from traditional TH program	- 270 days or less = 5 pts - 271 days or more = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR, Q22b: (Average Length for Leavers Only)
Housing Stability (Permanent Housing Destination at Exit and/or Maintaining Permanent Housing)					
7. PSH Programs: Length of Stay 12 months (366 days) or longer (<u>adults and children</u>) PSH projects funded for the first time in 2017: Length of stay 4+ months (121+ days)	80%	- 80% or more = 5 pts - 60-79% = 3 pts - 59% or less = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR, Q22a1 (Leavers Only)
8. SSO, TH and RRH Programs: Percentage of participants (<u>adults and children</u>) exiting to permanent hsg destinations	90%	- 90% or more =10 pts - 80 -89% = 7 pts - 70 – 79% = 5 pts - 60 – 69% = 3 pts - 59% or less = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR: Q23a + Q23b PH charts ÷ # of leavers.
9. PSH Programs: % of participants (<u>adults and children</u>) remaining in PSH or exiting to permanent hsg destinations	90%	- 90% or more =10 pts - 80 -89% = 8 pts - 70 – 79% = 5 pts - 60 – 69% = 3 pts - 59% or less = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR: Q23a + Q23b PH charts + stayers ÷ total # of clients.
10. TH/RRH/PSH Programs (excluding VSPs): Returns to Homelessness-Percentage of participants who exited to permanent housing and returned to homelessness within 1 year (12 months) of exit.	Less than the CoC System-wide % for project component as submitted to HUD May 2018	- No returns = 6 pts - More than zero, but less than CoC %= 4 pts - Within 3 percentage pts more than CoC % = 2 pts - More than 3 percentage pts than CoC % = 0 - VSP (N/A) = 6 pts			HMIS Data/System-Wide Performance Measures Report, Metric 2.b.2
Connecting Participants to Mainstream Benefits/Community Resources and Increasing Participants’ Income					
11. All Programs: Program’s commitment to connecting participants with mainstream resources.	Answers “Yes” to ALL relevant questions on the 2019 proj. app.	- Yes to all 4 = 10 pts - Yes to 3 = 8 pts - Yes to 2 = 3 pts - Yes to 1 or less = 0 pts			e-snaps Project Application, 4A. 2a, 2b, 3, and 3a.
12. PSH Programs: Percentage of <u>adult</u> “stayers” who <u>gained</u> or <u>increased</u> income from employment (i.e., “earned income”) from entry to HMIS annual follow-up/assessment.	10%	- 10% or more = 5pts - 7-9% = 3 pts - 4-6% =1 pts - 3% or less = 0 pts (rounding up = .5+)			HMIS Data/APR Q19a1. Universe = # of adult stayers minus # of adult stayers not yet required to have annual assessment in APR Q18.

13. RRH/TH/SSO Programs Percentage of <u>adult</u> “stayers” who <u>gained</u> or <u>increased</u> income from employment (i.e., “earned income”) from entry to annual follow-up.	12%	- 12% or more = 5pts - 9-11% = 3 pts - 6-8% =1 pts - 5% or less = 0 pts (rounding up = .5+)			HMIS Data/APR Q19a1. Universe = Total number of adult stayers minus number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment in APR Q18.
14. PSH Programs: Percentage of <u>adult</u> “leavers” who <u>gained</u> or <u>increased</u> income from employment (i.e., “earned income”) from entry to exit.	15%	- 15% or more = 5pts - 11-14% = 3 pts - 7-10% =1 pts - 6% or less = 0 pts (rounding up = .5+)			HMIS Data/APR Q19a2. Universe = Total number of adult leavers.
15. RRH/TH/SSO Programs: Percentage of <u>adult</u> “leavers” who <u>gained</u> or <u>increased</u> income from employment (i.e., “earned income”) from entry to exit.	20%	- 20% or more = 5pts - 15-19% = 3 pts - 10-14% =1 pts - 9% or less = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q19a2. Universe = Total number of adult leavers.
16. All Programs: Percentage of <u>adult</u> participants who <u>gained</u> , <u>maintained</u> , or <u>increased</u> income from “other” sources (non-employment, non-earned cash) from entry to exit or at follow-up.	36%	- 36% or more = 5 pts - 25-35 % = 3 pts - 15-24% =1 pts - 14% and below = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q19a3 Universe = Total number of adults minus number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment in APR Q18.
17. All Programs: Percentage of <u>adult</u> “leavers” with at least 1 or more “non-cash benefit(s)” at exit.	70%	- 70% or more = 3 pts - 50-69% = 1 pts - Less than 50% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q20b ÷ adult leavers.
Utilization Rate					
18. PSH/RRH/TH Programs: Average unit utilization rate	Pre-2017 projects (85%)	- 85% or more =6 pts - 75 to 84% = 4 pts - 65 to 74% = 2 pts - 64% and below = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q8b “# of households served last Wed in “Oct/Jan/Apr/July” ÷ “total units in App x 4”
	Projects funded for first time in 2017 (60%)	-60% of more = 6 pts -50-59% = 4 pts -40-49-% - 2 pts -39% or less = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q8b “number of households served last Wed in “Apr and July” ÷ “total units in App x 2”

Severity of Need and Commitment to HUD Policy Priorities					
19. SSO: Project uses the VI-SPDAT common assessment tool for client referrals to Coordinated Entry System to ensure equal access to PH in community.	Yes	-Yes = 10 pts -No = 0 pts			KHC Application/HMIS verification
20. PSH and RRH programs: Percentage of households enrolled in project since 2/1/18 that came through the Coordinated Entry Prioritization Process.	100%	-100% = 5 pts -70-99% = 3 pts -50-69% = 1 pt -Less than 50% = 0 pts			KHC Application/HMIS verification
21. PSH programs: Percentage of households enrolled since 2/1/18 with VI-SPDAT (or Full SPDAT) score recommending PSH.	100%	-100% = 3 pts -75-99% = 1 pt -Less than 75% = 0 pts			KHC Application/HMIS verification
22. RRH programs: Percentage of households since 2/1/18 with VI-SPDAT (or Full SPDAT) score recommending at least RRH.	100%	100% = 3 pts 75-99% = 1 pt Less than 75% = 0 pts			KHC Application/HMIS verification
23. PSH programs: Percentage of households that were chronically homeless at program entry since 2/1/18.	60%	60% or more = 2 pts 40-59% = 1 pt Less than 40% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/KHC custom report
24. SSO, TH, and RRH: Percentage of participants with at least one condition (i.e., disability) at entry.	40%	40% or more = 2 pts 25-39% = 1 pt Less than 25% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q13a2
25. SSO and TH programs: Percentage of <u>adult</u> participants with no income at program entry.	50%	50% or more = 6 pts 40 to 49% = 4 pts 30 to 39% = 2 pts Less than 30% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q18.
26. SSO programs: Percentage of <u>adult</u> participants entries from streets/emergency shelters	60%	60% or more = 8 pts 50 to 59% = 4 pts Less than 50% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q15.
27. All programs: Housing First Fidelity—Low-Barriers to Entry	Individuals are rapidly placed/stabilized in permanent hsg without any preconditions besides CoC program eligibility requirements	-Project clearly demonstrates full fidelity to low-barrier principle. = 6 pts -Project somewhat demonstrates fidelity, but needs improvement = 3 pts - Project does not demonstrate sufficient fidelity. = 0			KHC application narrative response

<p>28. All programs: Housing First Fidelity—Housing Retention/Program Termination</p>	<p>Individuals are not terminated from program for failure to participate in supportive services; make progress on service plan; loss of or failure to improve income; domestic violence victimization; or any other activity typically included in a lease agreement in the service area.</p>	<p>-Project clearly demonstrates full fidelity to housing retention principle. = 4 pts -Project somewhat demonstrates fidelity, but needs improvement = 2 pts - Project does not demonstrate sufficient fidelity. = 0</p>			<p>KHC application narrative response</p>
<p>Program Management, Capacity, HMIS (or comparable database for VSPs) Data Quality, and CoC Participation</p>					
<p>29. All programs: Project sponsor meets minimum threshold and self-certification criteria on KHC Capacity Scorecard</p>	<p>If agency does not meet criteria, application will not be accepted.</p>	<p>Pass/Fail</p>			<p>KHC Capacity Scorecard</p>
<p>30. All programs: If your 2017 grant has closed, did project have any unspent funds exceeding 5%?</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>No = 3 pts Yes = 0 pts Project still open = 3pts N/A (not more than 5% unspent)= 3 pts</p>			<p>KHC Project Application</p>
<p>31. All programs: If you had unspent funds exceeding 5% for your closed 2017 grant are you voluntarily requesting less funding for this renewal grant?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts Project still open = 2pts N/A (not more than 5% unspent) = 2 pts</p>			<p>KHC Project Application</p>
<p>32. All programs: If your 2017 grant is still open, is your project on track to spend down 100% of the funds?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes; within 20% variance = 3 pts No; more than 20% underspent = 0 pts Project closed = 3 pts</p>			<p>Verified by eLOCCS Balance as of August 30, 2019.</p>
<p>33. All programs: If your 2017 grant is still open and you are not on track to spend down 100% of the funds, are you voluntarily requesting less funding for your 2019 renewal grant?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts Project closed or on track = 2pts</p>			<p>KHC Project Application</p>

34. All programs: For this project, has there been a HUD and/or KHC monitoring with findings since 7/1/16	No findings or findings corrected and accepted	No findings or no review completed = 5 pts Findings, but corrected and accepted (or correction period still within allowed time) = 3 pts -Uncorrected findings = 0			KHC Project Application/HUD/KHC verified
35. All programs: For HMIS or VSP comparable database, missing data for client destination at exit: Data Quality as compared to the CoC Data Quality Report submitted to HUD in May '19	No missing data	No missing data = 5 pts Some missing, but is less than the CoC percent missing for the component type = 3pts Greater than the CoC percent missing for the component type= 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q23a and Q23b and CoC Data Quality Report
36. All Programs: Number of Adults Stayers <u>without</u> required Annual Assessment	100% completed/No Adults Stayers without their HMIS Annual Assessment completed.	0 without required assessment = 5 pts 1-10% without = 3pts 11-25% without= 1 pt More than 25% without= 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q18 Universe: Adult Stayers minus "Adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment".
37. ALL programs: All HIC/PIT data submitted on time, or for SSO, agency helped with PIT	Yes	Yes = 5pts No = 0 pts			HIC/PIT Data Records
38. All programs: Agency representative attended 2018 Annual CoC meeting, and 2019 Regional CoC mtg	100%	Attended both= 5 pts Attended 1 = 2 pts Did not attend = 0 pts			COC Planning and Coordination Records
39. All programs (BONUS): Agency representative attended 2019 Point-in-Time Count (K-Count) Training	Yes	Yes = 3 pts No = 0 pts			COC Planning and Coordination Records

Score				
	Total Possible Points	Earned	Score (%)	
PSH:	124			
RRH:	121			
SSO:	120			
TH:	119			