2019 Kentucky Balance of State CoC <u>New</u> Project Scoresheet

(Note: A separate scoresheet is used for expansion projects)

(Approved by KY BoS CoC Advisory Board August 19, 2019)

Name of Agency:					
New Project Name:					
Project Type (Circle one): PH-RRH - PH-PSH - Joint TH/RRH – SSO for CE			Victim Service Provider: Yes No		
			DV Bonus Project: Yes No		
Priority Area	Goal	Points Possible	Proj. Performance/Re-sponse	Score	Data Source/ Calculation, if applicable
Minimum Thresholds					
1. All programs: Project sponsor meets minimum threshold and self-certification criteria on KHC Capacity Scorecard	If sponsor agency does not meet minimum criteria, application will not be accepted.	Pass/Fail Projects that fail to reach the minimum established criteria or establish a plan to correct deficiencies that is acceptable to KHC will not be eligible for ranking.			KHC Capacity Scorecard
2. All programs: Project is committed to moving people quickly into permanent housing and utilizes a Low Barrier/Housing First model	Yes	If no, new project application not ranked			e-snaps App Q3B (3a-d) and KHC application for SSO-CE
3. PSH, RRH, and Joint TH/RRH: Project commits to participating in the Coordinated Entry System, including using the VI-SPDAT common assessment tool for client prioritization, referral and enrollment to ensure project is best fit for the client's needs.	Yes	If no, new project application not accepted			KHC application
4. All Programs: Project serves *Households With Children and Without Children* (including individuals and households with one of more adults and regardless of sexual orientation)	Yes	If no, new project application not accepted			KHC application and e-snaps App Q3B.1 (Project Description) and Q5A-Project Participants-Households and
5. All Programs: Project serves persons regardless of gender identity and is not limited to one gender (Equal Access Rules Apply)	Yes	If no, new project application not accepted			KHC application and e-snaps App Q3B.1 (Project Description)

Project Type and Populations Served					
6.All Programs: Project Type	Priority given to housing projects for persons with the highest need	PSH = 3 pts, RRH or Joint TH/RRH = 1 pts SSO for Coordinated Entry= 0 pts			e-snaps application
7. PSH and Joint TH/RRH: Is this project requesting non–renewable capital costs?	Retain scarce resources in CoC to continue to fund high-performing projects	Yes = (-15) No = 0			e-snaps application
Project Need and Design					
8. (Non-DV Bonus) Joint TH/RRH: Does year-round, low-barrier crisis housing (e.g. emergency shelter) exist in the proposed service area for the specific population to be served with this new grant? In order to answer no, the emergency shelter must limit shelter stay to 90 days or less, require identification and/or have other barriers such as requiring criminal background checks, sobriety, or service participation.	Strategically allocate resources	Yes = 0 No = 3 pts If proposed project is for the entire Balance of State service area, this question will apply to the areas in which the TH portion is proposed to be located.			KHC Application/ 2019 Housing Inventory Count
9. (Non-DV Bonus) RRH (including the RRH portion of a Joint TH/RRH project) Does a CoC project of this component type exist in your proposed service area for the specific population you will serve with this new grant?	Strategically allocate resources	Yes = 0 pts No = 3 pts Joint TH/RRH projects without existing low-barrier, crisis housing as indicated in Q8, but where RRH does exist = 1 pt If proposed project is for the entire Balance of State service area, this question will apply to the areas in which the majority of RRH is proposed to be located.			KHC Application/ 2019 Housing Inventory Count

10. PSH Programs: Does other PSH exist in the proposed service area for the specific population to be served (besides HHCK)	Strategically allocate resources	Yes = 0 No = 3 pts			KHC Application/ 2019 Housing Inventory Count
11. <u>All programs (Non-DV Bonus):</u> Applicant justified the need for this new project, including using quantitative data from the Coordinated Entry System in the proposed project's Local Prioritization Area(s) and explaining why the availability of this component type in the proposed service area, if any, is inadequate to meet need.	Strategically allocate resources	-Justified need = 10 pts -Somewhat justified = 7 pts -Acceptable, but lacks detail = 4 pts -Did not justify = Application will not be accepted.			KHC application/CE Data confirmed by KHC
12. <u>SSO for CE Projects:</u> Applicant described strong plan to engage non-HUD funded housing and service providers to participate in coordinated entry.	Ensure access to coordinated entry	-Strong Plan = 10 pts -Strong Plan, but lacks some detail= 7 pts -Has plan, but lacks detail = 4 -Plan not acceptable = 0			KHC application
13. <u>SSO for CE Projects:</u> Applicant described strong plan to ensure the coordinated entry system is well-advertised and easily accessible to all persons seeking assistance, including persons with the highest barriers to accessing assistance (especially direct outreach to unsheltered persons), persons with disabilities, and persons with limited English proficiency.	Meets basic coordinated entry requirements	-Strong Plan = 10 pts -Strong Plan, but lacks some detail= 7 pts -Has plan, but lacks detail = 4 -Plan not acceptable = 0			e-snaps Application 3B.4c
14. <u>SSO for CE Projects:</u> Number or ES, TH, RRH, PSH projects included on the 2019 Housing Inventory Chart for the proposed services area.	Greater system coordination required	15 projs. or more = 5pts 10-14 projs. = 3 pts Under 10 projs. = 1 pts			2019 Housing Inventory Count
15. <u>SSO for CE Projects:</u> Number of persons unsheltered/ in ES in service area compared to BoS rate on the 2019 K-Count (PIT) for same populations.	Greater system coordination required	Higher than Balance of State rate = 5			2019 Point-in-Time Count (K-Count)

Quickly Moves People Into Permanent Housing and Supports Housing Stability					
16. PSH, RRH, and Joint TH/RRH: Applicant described strong plan to assist project participants to quickly obtain and retain permanent housing.	Quickly move people into PH	-Strong Plan = 10 pts -Strong Plan, but lacks some detail= 7 pts -Has plan, but lacks detail = 4 Plan not acceptable = 0			e-snaps Application 4A.2
17. All Projects: Applicant described strong plan to assist participants to increase their income through employment and other non-earned income.	Ensure long-term housing stability / Reduce returns to homelessness	-Strong Plan = 10 pts -Strong Plan, but lacks some detail= 7 pts -Has plan, but lacks detail = 4 Plan not acceptable = 0			e-snaps Application 4A.3
18. All Projects: Applicants described strong plan to assist participants obtain mainstream benefits.		-Strong Plan = 10 pts -Strong Plan, but lacks some detail= 7 pts -Has plan, but lacks detail = 4 Plan not acceptable = 0			e-snaps Application 4A.3
19. SSO-CE: Applicant described how it will routinely monitor the service area's prioritization list to identify persons that are not moving quickly into permanent housing, identify barriers (e.g., lack of disability documentation) potentially causing the delay, and what steps they will take to help remove the barrier(s).		-Strong Plan = 10 pts -Strong Plan, but lacks some detail= 7 pts -Has plan, but lacks detail = 4 Plan not acceptable = 0			KHC application
Connecting Participants to Mainstream Benefits/Community Resources					
20. PSH, RRH, and Joint TH/RRH: Project will provide transportation assistance (directly or through bus vouchers, etc.); conduct follow-ups at least annually to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed; and provides SSI/SSDI technical assistance	Project demonstrates commitment to connecting participants with mainstream resources	Yes to all 3 = 5 pts No to any of the 3 = 0 pts			e-Snaps Project Application, 4A. 5a, 5b, and 6.
21. PSH, RRH, and Joint TH/RRH: Person(s) providing the SSI/SSDI technical assistance has received SOAR training in the past 24 months (prior to August 28, 2019).	Project has the knowledge to connect participants to SSI/SSDI.	Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts			e-Snaps Project Application, 4A. 6a

Program Management, Capacity, HMIS (or comparable database for VSPs), and CoC Participation					
22. All programs: Agency Experience Agency has administered one or more CoC grants at least since 2016. *(IF YES SKIP TO Q24)*	Agency experience	Agency has administered CoC grants for program years 2016, 2017, and 2018 = 5 pts. Agency has administered CoC grants for at least one year between program years 2016, 2017, or 2018 = 3 pts			KHC Records
23. All programs: BONUS: If project has not administered a CoC grant in the past 3 years, has it administered an ESG and/or a VA SSVF grant for years '16, '17, and 2018?	Agency experience	Yes= 3 pts No = 0 pts Administered a CoC grant within the last 3 years = 0 pts *N/A if "Yes" in Q20*			KHC Records
24. All programs: Agency currently uses HMIS or a HMIS comparable database for Victim Service Providers.	Agency experience	Yes= 5 pts No = 0 pts			KHC Records
25. All programs: Project has deductions from KHC Capacity Scorecard	Agency Capacity	Total Point deduction subtracted from New Project Application scoresheet			KHC Records
26. All programs: Attended 2019 Regional CoC Meeting, 2018 Annual CoC meeting,	100%	Attended both= 5 pts Attended 1 = 3 pts Did not attend = 0 pts			COC Planning/ Coordination Records
27. All programs: Attended 2019 K-Count Training	Yes	Yes = 3 pts No = 0 pts			COC Planning/ Coordination Records
28. All programs: Applicant has reasonable plan for the rapid implementation of the proposed project, including when the project will be ready to serve the first participant.		-Reasonable Plan = 10 pts -Reasonable Plan, but could be faster= 5 pts -Plan not acceptable = 0			e-snaps application 3B.2/KHC application
The following questions are scored for DV Bonus Projects only					
29. All programs: Applicant's and subrecipient's (if any) direct experience serving victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking, including experience relating to the specific activities proposed.	Agency experience serving survivors	Significant experience = 10 pts Some experience = 5 pt No experience = *Application will not be accepted*			KHC application

30. All programs: Applicant and subrecipients (if any) have experience utilizing Trauma Informed Care and Victim-Centered approaches.	Agency experience successfully serving persons who have experienced trauma	Significant experience = 5 pts Some experience = 2 pt No experience = *Application will not be accepted.*			KHC application
31. All programs: Unmet need described, including quantitative data that justifies need for project.	Project is needed	Need justified = 10 pts Need somewhat justified = 5 pts Need not justified = *Application will not be accepted*			KHC application
32. All programs: Project addresses the unmet need of survivors for the proposed service area.	Project is needed	Addresses Need = 10 pts Somewhat addresses need = 5 pts Does not address need = *Application will not be accepted*			KHC application
33. All programs: Applicant demonstrates understanding of the specific needs/barriers faced by survivors and proposed services/project design is appropriate to meet these needs.	Project uses Trauma Informed Care and a Victim-Centered approach.	Design appropriate = 10 pts Design appropriate, but lacks details = 5 pts Design not appropriate = *Application will not be accepted.*			KHC application

SCORE					

Non-DV Bonus Projects					
		Total Points Possible	Earned		Score (%)
PSH Projects		101			
RRH Projects:		84			
Joint TH/RRH Projects:		87			
SSO for Coordinated Entry Projects:		84			
DV Bonus Projects					
RRH Projects		116			
Joint TH/RRH Projects		116			