

APR Data: # of Clients: _____ # of Households _____ # of Adults _____ # of Leavers: _____ # of Adult Leavers: _____
 # of Stayers: _____ # of Adult Stayers: _____ # of Adult Stayers not yet required to have annual assessment: _____
 # of Leavers not included in PH Destination Outcome: _____ Total number of leavers minus those not included: _____

2019 Kentucky Balance of State CoC EXPANSION Scoresheet

Non-DV Bonus RRH and PSH Projects

(Approved by KY BoS CoC Advisory Board August 19, 2019)

Note: Victim Service Providers (VSP) client-level data will be evaluated using the provider's HMIS comparable database. References to HMIS in this scoresheet include these comparable databases.

Agency Name:		Project Name:			
Project Type (Circle one): PH-RRH - PH-PSH		Victim Service Provider (Circle one):		Yes	No
		Facility-Based Housing (Circle one):		Yes	No
Priority Area	Goal	Points Possible	Proj. Performance /Response	Score	Data Source/ Calculation, if applicable
Minimum Thresholds					
1. All programs: Project sponsor meets minimum threshold and self-certification criteria on KHC Capacity Scorecard	Yes	Pass/Fail Projects that fail to reach the minimum established criteria or establish a plan to correct deficiencies that is acceptable to KHC will not be accepted			KHC Capacity Scorecard
2. All Programs: Applicant is committed to moving people quickly into PH and utilizes a Low Barrier/Housing First model	Yes	If no, Expansion Project application not accepted			eSnaps App Q 3B (3a-d)/KHC application
3. All programs: Agency has been enrolling 100% of participants in project through the Coordinated Entry process since at least January 1, 2018.	Yes	If no, Expansion Project application not accepted			KHC Project Application/HMIS verified
4. PSH Programs: 100% of beds in renewal and expansion project must be 100% Dedicated to Chronically Homeless or DedicatedPLUS	Yes	If no, Expansion Project application not accepted			KHC Project Application/e-snaps
Project Type, Priority Populations, and Geographic Coverage					
5. All Programs: Project Type	Resources prioritized for highest need populations	- PSH = 2 pts - RRH = 0 pts			KHC Project Application/e-snaps Application

6. All Programs: Project covers multiple counties (or for facility-based PSH, participants from at least a full LPC are eligible)	Increase accessibility of resources	- One or more LPCs = 6 pts - At least 3 counties, but not a full LPC = 2 pts - 2 or less counties = 0 pts			KHC Project Application/ e-snaps Application
7. RRH Programs: Project serves Households With Children and Households Without Children (including individuals and households with one or more adults). Equal Access Rules relating to sexual orientation apply regardless.	Project is able to serve households with highest level of needs regardless of household composition.	- Yes = 5 - No = 0			KHC Project Application/ e-snaps Application
8. All Programs: Project serves all people regardless of gender identity (i.e., project is not limited to only males or only females). Equal Access Rules relating to gender identity apply regardless.	Project is able to serve people with highest level of needs regardless of gender.	- Yes = 5 - No = 0			KHC Project Application/ e-snaps Application
Length Time Experiencing Homelessness (Quickly Moves People into Permanent Housing)					
9. All Programs: Average length of time between "Project Entry" to "Housing Move-in" Date	Quickly move to PH (30 days or less)	- 30 days or less = 5 pts - 31-45 days = 3 pts - 46+ days = 0			HMIS Data/CoC APR Q22c
Housing Stability (Permanent Housing Destination at Exit and/or Maintaining Permanent Housing)					
10. PSH Programs: Length of Stay 12 months (366 days) or longer (<u>adults and children</u>) PSH projects funded for the first time in 2017: Length of stay 4+ months (121+ days)	80%	- 80% or more = 5 pts - 60-79% = 3 pts - 59% or less = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR, Q22a1 (Leavers only)
11. RRH Programs: Percentage of participants (<u>adults and children</u>) exiting to permanent housing destinations	90%	- 90% or more = 10 pts - 80 - 89% = 5 pts - 79% or less = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR: Q23a + Q23b PH charts ÷ # of leavers.
12. PSH Programs: Percentage of participants (<u>adults and children</u>) remaining in PSH or exiting to permanent housing destinations	90%	- 90% or more = 10 pts - 80 - 89% = 5 pts - 79% or less = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR: Q23a + Q23b PH charts + stayers ÷ total # of clients.

<p>13. All Programs (excluding VSPs): Returns to Homelessness-Percentage of participants who exited to permanent housing and returned to homelessness within 1 year (12 months) of exit.</p>	<p>Less than the CoC System-wide % for project component as submitted to HUD May 2018</p>	<p>- No returns = 6 pts - More than zero, but less than CoC %= 4 pts - Within 3 percentage pts more than CoC % = 2 pts - More than 3 percentage pts than CoC % = 0 - VSP (N/A) = 6 pts</p>		<p>HMIS Data/System-Wide Performance Measures Report, Metric 2.b.2</p>	
Connecting Participants to Mainstream Benefits/Community Resources and Increasing Participants' Income					
<p>14. All Programs: Program's commitment to connecting participants with mainstream resources.</p>	<p>Answers "Yes" to ALL relevant questions on the 2019 proj. app.</p>	<p>- Yes to all 4 = 10 pts - Yes to 3 = 5 pts - Yes to 2 or less = 0 pts</p>		<p>e-snaps Project Application, 4A. 2a, 2b, 3, and 3a.</p>	
<p>15. PSH Programs: Percentage of <u>adult</u> "stayers" who <u>gained</u> or <u>increased</u> income from employment (i.e., "earned income") from entry to HMIS annual follow-up/assessment.</p>	<p>10%</p>	<p>- 10% or more = 5pts - 7-9% = 3 pts - 6% or less = 0 pts (rounding up = .5+)</p>		<p>HMIS Data/APR Q19a1. Universe = # of adult stayers minus # of adult stayers not yet required to have annual assessment in APR Q18.</p>	
<p>16. RRH Programs Percentage of <u>adult</u> "stayers" who <u>gained</u> or <u>increased</u> income from employment (i.e., "earned income") from entry to annual follow-up.</p>	<p>12%</p>	<p>- 12% or more = 5pts - 9-11% = 3 pts - 8% or less = 0 pts (rounding up = .5+)</p>		<p>HMIS Data/APR Q19a1. Universe = Total number of adult stayers minus number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment in APR Q18.</p>	
<p>17. PSH Programs: Percentage of <u>adult</u> "leavers" who <u>gained</u> or <u>increased</u> income from employment (i.e., "earned income") from entry to exit.</p>	<p>15%</p>	<p>- 15% or more = 5pts - 11-14% = 3 pts - 10% or less = 0 pts (rounding up = .5+)</p>		<p>HMIS Data/APR Q19a2. Universe = Total number of adult leavers.</p>	
<p>18. RRH Programs: Percentage of <u>adult</u> "leavers" who <u>gained</u> or <u>increased</u> income from employment (i.e., "earned income") from entry to exit.</p>	<p>20%</p>	<p>- 20% or more = 5pts - 15-19% = 3 pts - 14% or less = 0 pts</p>		<p>HMIS Data/APR Q19a2. Universe = Total number of adult leavers.</p>	

19. All Programs: Percentage of <u>adult</u> participants who <u>gained</u> , <u>maintained</u> , or <u>increased</u> income from “other” sources (non-employment, non-earned cash) from entry to exit or at follow-up.	36%	- 36% or more = 5 pts - 25-35 % = 3 pts - 15-24% =1 pts - 14% and below = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q19a3 Universe = Total number of adults minus number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment in APR Q18.
20. All Programs: Percentage of <u>adult</u> “leavers” with at least 1 or more “non-cash benefit(s)” at exit.	70%	- 70% or more = 3 pts - 50-69% = 1 pts - Less than 50% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q20b ÷ adult leavers.
Utilization Rate and Project Need					
21. All Programs: Average unit utilization rate	Pre-2017 projects (85%)	- 85% or more =6 pts - 84% and below = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q8b “# of households served last Wed in “Oct/Jan/Apr/July” ÷ “total units in App x 4”
	Projects funded for first time in 2017 (60%)	-75% of more = 6 pts -74% or less = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q8b “number of households served last Wed in “Apr and July” ÷ “total units in App x 2”
22. All Programs: Applicant justified need for expansion project, including current Coordinated Entry Data.	Strong justification (including quantitative data)	Yes = 20 pts Somewhat justified = 10 pts No = 0 pts			
Severity of Need and Commitment to HUD Policy Priorities					
23. All Programs: Percentage of households enrolled in project since 1/1/18, that came through the Coordinated Entry Prioritization Process.	100%	-100% = 10 pts -Less than 100% = 0 pts			KHC Application/HMIS verification
24. PSH programs: Percentage of households enrolled since 1/1/18 with VI-SPDAT (or Full SPDAT) score recommending PSH.	100%	-100% = 5 pts -Less than 100% = 0 pts			KHC Application/HMIS verification
25. RRH programs: Percentage of households since 1/1/18 with VI-SPDAT (or Full SPDAT) score recommending at least RRH.	100%	100% = 5 pts Less than 100% = 0 pts			KHC Application/HMIS verification

26. PSH programs: Percentage of households that were chronically homeless at program entry since 1/1/18.	60%	60% or more = 4 pts Less than 60% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/KHC custom report
27. RRH Programs: Percentage of participants with at least one condition (i.e., disability) at entry.	40%	40% or more = 2 pts 25-39% = 1 pts Less than 25% = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q13a2
28. All programs: Housing First Fidelity—Low-Barriers to Entry	Individuals are rapidly placed/stabilized in permanent hsg without any preconditions besides CoC program eligibility requirements	-Project clearly demonstrates full fidelity to low-barrier principle. = 6 pts -Project somewhat demonstrates fidelity, but needs improvement = 3 pts - Project does not demonstrate sufficient fidelity. = 0			KHC application narrative response
29. All programs: Housing First Fidelity—Housing Retention/Program Termination	Individuals are not terminated from program for failure to participate in supportive services; make progress on service plan; loss of or failure to improve income; domestic violence victimization; or any other activity typically included in a lease agreement in the service area.	-Project clearly demonstrates full fidelity to housing retention principle. = 4 pts -Project somewhat demonstrates fidelity, but needs improvement = 2 pts - Project does not demonstrate sufficient fidelity. = 0			KHC application narrative response
Program Management, Capacity, HMIS (or comparable database for VSPs) Data Quality, and CoC Participation					
30. All programs: Project sponsor meets minimum threshold and self-certification criteria on KHC Capacity Scorecard	If agency does not meet criteria, application will not be accepted.	Pass/Fail			KHC Capacity Scorecard

31. All programs: If your 2017 grant has closed, did project have any unspent funds exceeding 5%?	No	No = 10 pts Yes = 0 pts Project still open = 10pts N/A (not more than 5% unspent)= 10 pts			KHC Project Application
32. All programs: If project had unspent funds exceeding 5% for its closed 2017 grant, provided a reasonable explanation for why funds were returned and has a plan in place to ensure all '18 and '19 funds are expended.	Yes	Yes = 10 pts No = 0 pts Project still open = 10 pts N/A (not more than 5% unspent funds) = 10 pts			KHC Project Application
33. All programs: If your 2017 grant is still open, is your project on track to spend down 100% of the funds?	Yes	Yes; within 20% variance = 10 pts No; more than 20% underspent = 0 pts Project closed = 0 pts			Verified by eLOCCS Balance as of August 30, 2019.
34. All programs: If your 2017 grant is still open and you are not on track to spend down 100% of the funds, provided a reasonable explanation for why and has a plan to ensure all 2017 and 2018 funds are expended.	Yes	Yes = 10 pts No = 0 pts Project still open = 10 pts N/A (not more than 5% unspent funds) = 10 pts			KHC Project Application
35. All programs: For this project, has there been a HUD and/or KHC monitoring with findings since 7/1/16	No findings or findings corrected and accepted	No findings or no review completed = 5 pts Findings, but corrected and accepted (or correction period still within allowed time) = 3 pts -Uncorrected findings= 0			KHC Project Application/HUD/KHC verified
36. All programs: For HMIS or VSP comparable database, missing data for client destination at exit: Data Quality as compared to the CoC Data Quality Report submitted to HUD in May '19	No missing data	No missing data = 5 pts Some missing, but is less than the CoC percent missing for the component type = 3pts Greater than the CoC percent missing for the component type= 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q23a and Q23b and CoC Data Quality Report
37. All Programs: Number of Adults Stayers <u>without</u> required Annual Assessment	100% completed/No Adults Stayers without their HMIS Annual Assessment completed.	0 without required assessment = 5 pts 1-10% without = 3pts 11-25% without= 1 pt More than 25% without= 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q18 Universe: Adult Stayers minus "Adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment".

38. All programs: All HIC/PIT data submitted on time, or for SSO, agency helped with PIT	Yes	Yes = 5pts No = 0 pts			HIC/PIT Data Records
39. All programs: Agency representative attended 2018 Annual CoC meeting, and 2019 Regional CoC mtg	100%	Attended both= 5 pts Attended 1 = 2 pts Did not attend = 0 pts			COC Planning and Coordination Records
40. All programs: Agency representative attended 2019 Point-in-Time Count (K-Count) Training	Yes	Yes = 3 pts No = 0 pts			COC Planning and Coordination Records
Score					
		Total Possible Points	Earned	Score (%)	
	PSH:	177			
	RRH:	173			