

2016 Kentucky Balance of State CoC Renewal Scoresheet

(Approved by KY BoS CoC Advisory Board August 4, 2016)

Name of Agency:					
Project Name:					
Project Type (Circle one): TH - PH-RRH - PH-PSH - SSO		Victim Service Provider (Circle one):		Yes	No
		Project Serves 100% Youth (24 years old and under):		Yes	No
		Project Serves 100% Persons in recovery from addiction:		Yes	No
Priority Area	Goal	Points Possible	Proj. Performance/Response	Score	Data Source/Calculation, if applicable
Project Type					
1. <u>All Projects</u> : Permanent Supportive Housing, Rapid Rehousing for Families; Transitional Housing for youth, persons fleeing domestic violence, or persons in a recovery from addiction program.	Project serves priority populations	PSH = 5 pts RRH for families = 4 pts RRH = 3 pts TH for youth, persons fleeing domestic violence, or persons in recovery from addiction = 2 pts	N/A		KHC Project Application
2. <u>TH/SSO Programs</u> : Did you reallocate some of your eligible renewal funds for this project to a new RRH or PSH project you will operate or did you surrender a portion of your funds to be used by another agency to create new PH projects?	Increase the number of PSH beds for chronically homeless and increase the number of RRH available in the CoC	Reallocated a portion of funds to new PSH for chronically homeless = 3 pts Reallocated a portion of funds to new RRH = 2 pts Surrendered funds for use by the CoC to create new PH beds = 1 pt.	N/A		KHC Project Application
3. <u>TH/SSO Programs</u> : What percentage of existing TH/SSO funds were put into the reallocation pool (either for conversion of existing project or for another agency to create new PH) for new PSH or RRH projects	Increase the level of funding and number of PSH beds for chronically homeless and increase the number of RRH available in the CoC	More than 75% reallocated =5 51-74% reallocated =4 26-50% reallocated = 3 1%-25% reallocated = 1			Amount available for renewal minus current renewal request/Amount available for renewal
Length of Time Homeless (Quickly Moves People Into Permanent Housing)					
4. All programs: Applicant is committed to moving people quickly into permanent housing.	Yes	Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts	N/A		E-snaps Project Application, Question 3B:3a
Housing Stability (Permanent Housing Destination at Exit and/or Maintaining Permanent Housing)					
5. <u>PSH Programs</u> : Length of Stay 12 months or longer	80%	80% or more = 15 pts 70-79% =10 pts 60-69% = 5 pts 50-59% = 3 pts 49% or less = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Report, Q27

6. All Programs: Percentage of Clients remaining in PH or exiting to permanent housing destinations	90%	90% or more =15 pts 80 -89% = 10 pts 70 – 79% = 5 pts 60 – 69% = 3 pts 59% or less = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Report, Q29a1 + 29a2 divided by # of leavers. PH stayers included if applicable.
7. TH/PH Programs (excluding VSPs): Returns to Homelessness-Percentage of clients who exited to permanent housing and returned to homelessness within 2 years of exit.	Less than the CoC System-wide average as submitted to HUD August 2016	Less than CoC average = 10 pts Within 5 percentage pts of CoC average= 5 pts Within 10 percentage pts of CoC average =3 pts More than 10 percentage pts more than average = 0 VSP (N/A) = 10 pts			HMIS Data/ System-Wide Performance Measures Report, Metric 2.b.2
Connecting Participants to Mainstream Benefits/Community Resources and Increasing Participants' Income					
8. All Programs: Program's commitment to connecting participants' with mainstream resources	Answers "Yes" to ALL relevant questions on the 2016 project app.	Yes to all 5 = 10 pts Yes to 4 = 8 pts Yes to 3 = 3 pts Yes to 2 or less = 0 pts			e-Snaps Project Application, 4A. 2a, 2b, 2c, 3, and 3a.
9. PSH Programs: Percentage of participants who gained or increased income from employment from entry to exit or at follow-up.	17 %	17% or more = 10pts 15 to 16% = 7 pts 11 to 14% =5 pts 10% or less = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q24.b.3
10. TH/SSO Programs: Percentage of participants who gained or increased income from employment from entry to exit or at follow-up.	20%	20% or more = 10 pts 15 to 19 % = 7 pts 11 to 14% = 5 pts 10% or less = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q24.b.3
11. All Programs: Percentage of participants who gained, maintained (i.e. retained), or increased income from non-employment sources (cash and non-cash) from entry to exit or at follow-up.	54%	54% or more = 10 pts 40 to 53 % = 7 pts 30 to 39% = 5 pts 20 to 29% = 3 pts 10 to 19% = 1 pt 9% and below = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q24.b.3
Utilization Rate					
12. PH/TH Programs: Average unit utilization rate	85%	85% or more =10 pts 75 to 84% = 7 pts 65 to 74% = 5 pts 64% and below = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q8 "average # of households served each night" divided by total number of units in project application

Severity of Need and Commitment to HUD Policy Priorities for Subpopulations					
<u>13. All programs:</u> Percentage of client entries from streets/emergency shelters	60%	60% or more = 10 pts 50 to 59% = 7 pts 40 to 49% = 5 pts 39% or less = 0 pts			APR Q 20a1
<u>14. All PH:</u> Project currently uses (or commits to begin using) the VI-SPDAT common assessment tool for client enrollment to ensure project is best fit for the client's needs, even if there is not an active prioritization committee operational within project's service area at this time and commits to full participation in the KY BoS CoC Coordinated Entry System.	Yes	Yes = 10 pts No = 0 pts			KHC Project Application
<u>15. All programs (excluding VSPs):</u> Percentage of clients with no income at program entry	40%	40% or more = 10 pts 30 to 39% = 7 pts 20 to 29% = 5 pts 19% and below = 0 pts VSP= 10 pts			APR Q24a.
<u>16. PH programs:</u> Project utilizes a Housing First model	Yes	Yes = 10 pts			eSnaps App Q 3B (3a-d)
<u>17. TH/SSO programs:</u> Project utilizes a low barrier model	Yes	Yes = 10 pts			eSnaps App Q 3B (3a-d)
<u>18. PSH programs:</u> Percentage of beds dedicated to chronically homeless	Increase number of beds for CH	100% = 20 pts 85-99% =10 pts 75-84%= 5 pts 74% and under = 0 pts			eSnaps App Q4B (3a-d)
<u>19. PSH programs:</u> Project commits to prioritize persons in accordance with Notice CPD-16-11: <i>Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness and Other Vulnerable Homeless Persons in Permanent Supportive Housing</i>	Prioritize persons with the highest needs and longest time homeless for PSH	Yes = 10 pts			KHC Project Application
Program Management, Capacity, HMIS (or comparable database for VSPs) Quality, and Participation					
<u>20. All programs:</u> Project sponsor meets minimum score or higher on KHC Capacity Scorecard	If sponsor agency does not meet minimum score, application will not be accepted.	Pass/Fail Projects that fail to reach the minimum established score will not be eligible for ranking.			KHC Capacity Scorecard

<u>21. All programs:</u> If your 2014 grant (or most current grant) has closed, did your project have any unspent funds?	No	No = 3 pts Yes = 0 pts Project still open = 3pts			KHC Project Application
<u>22. All programs:</u> If you had unspent funds for your closed 2014 grant (or most current grant), are you voluntarily requesting less funding for your 2016 renewal grant?	Yes	Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts Project still open = 2pts			KHC Project Application
<u>23. All programs:</u> If your 2014 grant (or most current grant) is still open, is your project on track to spend down 100% of the funds?	Yes	Yes = 3 pts No = 0 pts Project closed = 3 pts			KHC Project Application
<u>24. All programs:</u> If your 2014 grant (or most current grant) is still open and you are not on track to spend down 100% of the funds, are you voluntarily requesting less funding for your 2016 renewal grant?	Yes	Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts Project closed = 2pts			KHC Project Application
<u>25. All programs:</u> For this project, has there been a HUD and/or KHC monitoring with findings since 7/1/13	No findings or findings corrected and accepted	No findings or no review completed = 5 pts Findings, but corrected and accepted = 3 pts			KHC Project Application
<u>26. All programs:</u> For HMIS or comparable database, missing data in Q7: Data Quality	No missing data	No categories with missing data = 5 pts 1-2 categories with missing data = 3 pts 3 or more categories with missing data = 0 pts			HMIS Data/APR Q7
<u>27. TH/PH:</u> All HIC/PIT data submitted on time	Yes	Yes = 5pts No = 0 pts			HIC/PIT Data Records
<u>28. All programs:</u> What percentage of CoC meetings/webinars did the agency participate in during calendar year 2015.	100%	100% = 10 pts 80% - 99% = 8 pts 50% to 79% = 5 pts Less than 50% = 0 pts			COE Planning and Coordination Records
Total Possible Points					
PSH Projects:		195			
RRH Projects:		140			
TH Projects:		148			
SSO Projects:		123			